

DHHS WAIVER ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEETING MINUTES

Date: March 19, 2013 **Time**: 1:00 pm – 3:00 pm **Location**: McKimmon Center, Raleigh, NC

MEETING CALLED BY		Deby Dihoff, Acting	Chairman			
TYPE OF MEETING DHHS Waive		DHHS Waiver Advis	er Advisory Committee (DWAC)			
ATTENDEES						
COMMITTEE MEMBERS			STATE STAFF ATTENDEES			
NAME	AFI	ILIATION	PRESENT	NAME	AFFILIATION	PRESENT
Peggy Terhune	Monarch			Ken Marsh	DMHDDSAS – LME Team	\boxtimes
Margaret Stargell	Coastal Hor	zons Center, Inc.	\boxtimes	Kathy Nichols	DMA Waiver Pgms Mgr	\boxtimes
Jack Naftel, MD	NC Physicia	ns Association		Beverly Bell	DMA Contract Manager	\boxtimes
Rosemary Weaver	State CFAC		Call In			
Carol Messina	State CFAC		Call In			
Susan Monroe	Local CFAC		\boxtimes			
Marc Jacques	Local CFAC					
Deby Dihoff	NAMI		\boxtimes			
Ellen Perry	IDD Advoca	te	\boxtimes			
Tony Sowards	SA Advocate	Э	\boxtimes			
Cherene Allen-Caraco	Mecklenburg	g's Promise			GUEST	
Lois Cavanagh-Daley	NC CANSO			NAME	AFFILIATION	
Arthur C. Wilson	Transylvania	a Co.	Call In			
Vacant	County Com	missioner Assoc.				
Brian Ingraham	Smoky Mtn.	LME				
Ken Jones	Eastpointe L	ME				
Carol Steckel	DMA Directo	or				
Kelly Crosbie	Chief of DM	A Operations	\boxtimes			
Jim Jarrard	DMH/DD/SA	S Acting. Director				
U. Nenna Lekwauwa	DMHDDSAS	Medical Director				

1. Agenda topic: Welcome and Approval of Minutes/Chair Housekeeping Items Presenter(s): Deby Dihoff

Discussion	 September 2012 minutes approved with no changes. Since last meeting, Lee Smith as DWAC Chair resigned. Calling In: Artie Wilson, Carol Messina, Rosemary Wear. Election held as there were no requirements regarding a Chairman of Committee. Marc Jacques elected Vice-Ch. Membership rotation to be postponed until next year; brid 2013 DWAC calendar. Discussed changing meetings to next three months then determine future schedule. Meetings will no longer be held at McKimmon Center du locating a space that meets our needs. Will communicate meeting. Members previously eligible for travel reimbursement with reimbursement, again due to budget restrictions. Noted who need it the most. 	ver a quorum. Deby Di air. ing forward again i quarterly. To rema te to budget restra te to members/pub	hoff elected n October. ain monthly for the ints. In process of lic prior to next e to receive
Conclusions			
Action Items		Person(s) Responsible	Deadline
N/A			

2. Agenda topic: Review of Past Year

Discussion

- Comments
 - This past year has been a learning year. Discuss we need to determine how to manage information we are receiving. How to focus on different disabilities, understanding of waivers, what are components, service management, care coordination, providers, payments. Biggest accomplishment is what has been learned over past year and learning what challenges MCOs have run into after they have gone live.

Presenter(s): Deby Dihoff

- Noted that some opportunities have been missed.
- Concern shared by Ellen Perry that, while her life has been better, others may not be as fortunate. Some individuals are having trouble getting community guides to do what they need to do. Need booklets on How to do this. Wants to encourage educating the MCOs and keeping an eye on how the waiver affects individuals and families.
- It was determined that numerous tasks were completed over past year
- From consumer perspective, as data comes in, it is at that point we can review and best determine how to use it. Would like to see more data.
- Many concerns raised over the mission of the Committee, whether the tasks are getting done. Goal was to provide suggestions/recommendations, around what. Is there a need for this committee to continue to meet regularly?
- o Thoughts are we are past the presentation phase. Time to provide input. Focus of committee was to provide consultation, implementation.
- o DMA -
 - Last year was about implementation are we are still implementing. Working now on business processes, payment, how providers are being affected. How consumers doing, do services mean anything, (Medicaid perspective) strong provider network, what can providers be doing for consumers, service array, are they helping or not, are surveys working or not, healthcare outcomes, what do consumer surveys say, what are performance improvement projects, what are the interesting things MCOs/providers doing. Currently bogged down in are providers getting paid, reports to general assembly.
 - Open to items constituents are asking for. Accessing system, crisis continuum any good. Advise on what is working.
 - Advisory body to provide input and consultation over following: implementation, ongoing LME/MCO operations, Medicaid managed care, innovations LME operations, review quarterly/annual report summaries on LMEs performance, consultation on local and statewide system goals, trend data, highlight areas of best practice, problem identification and resolution.
- Frustration expressed that same inquires/needs all along. Service system needs more
 community support type of services. System still behaving the same way. Budget cuts –
 protect their clinical staff. SAIOP, SACOT great programs but not all survive ride to hospital.
 PEER Respites, etc. have been proven to be helpful. Recovery systems have been proven
 to save money. Outcomes for people who live in community and would rather not go to
 hospital.
- LME/MCO
 - In going from unmanaged to managed system a great deal has changed. Frustration-having to reorganize the way system has evolved, having to make changes to levels of care, continuums to get there financially. Will eventually be able to spend money the way they want. Would like to increase Medicaid rate for psych. Until they are able to rearrange, they can't do it.
- Would like to bring in consumers, providers, see evidence based practice
- Frustrations have been heard. What we can do around prevention, evidence based, despite conversation around budget cuts.
- Inquiry regarding who put this committee together. (Stakeholder input) Ask Dept. to check with the Secretary. Stakeholders groups poled and asked to offer names. Check to see if that is what she wants, then put committee back on focus.
- Quality Strategy sent to Provider Organizations. Framework provide feedback. Use that information to create outline

Concerns about keeping rural areas of State in focus. Question raised whether IDD, self determination, assessments – was movement part of the assessment tool. Are IDD individuals making progress in their lives Concerns raised over care coordination. Future agenda: 1) Start with request regarding checking with Secretary. Clarification on purpose to determine meeting frequency. 2) Calendar – next three months then possibly go to quarterly, revisit after April. Meet in April if place secured. Meetings to remain monthly for now. Phone Contact List – Members to submit changes if they don't want their information posted. Jim Jarrard – glad we are meeting again, appreciate everyone at table and willing to continue to be part of it. **Conclusions** Deadline **Action Items Person(s) Responsible** Dept. to check with Secretary and report back. 4/15/2013 DMA & DMH Assigned

Committee Members to offer with finding a meeting site.

Staff

3. Agenda topic: Wai	ver Update	Preser	nter(s): Kathy Nichols, DM	1A
3. Agenda topic: Wai	 DMA introduced new staff (Contract Managers) - Adolph Simmons – Dedi 99 of the 100 counties are u Everyone under the same co DOJ settlement requirement Past initial transition phase, Next phase performance cool Performance Monitoring, we grievances, turnaround time Monthly reports – financial, retimeframes. Quarterly Reports External Quality Review organsecord reviews, chart review theirs, results are posted. The these reviews. Monitoring Work groups – clinical policy LME/MCO Progress Pilot Projects Performance Improvement F 	Beverly Bell, — DWAC cated to Quality. Inder the 1915 (b)(c) was included with new constill doing some monitor intracting. Early status updates — particular for authorizations. Inedical loss ratio, paying anization — outside agency. Reports are available others have not been projects	rep.; Renee Radar, Lisa Jack liver – Guilford goes live Apri they will soon be as well. Intracts. Iring. It aying clean claims, complaining clean claims within require Incy doing oversight of the require for WHN, ECBH, Smoky has in operation long enough to	kson, I 1. Its and Its
	 Rapid Resource contract, wrap around therapeutic services HB 916 – SIS and Resource Allocation Model. Aggregate funding. 			
	Innovations Plus – SIS Asset	ssment expected to be	completed June or July.	
Conclusions	•			
Action Items			Person(s) Responsible	Deadline
• None				

4. Agenda topic: Ideas for Standardization

4. Agenda topic: Ide	as for Standardization	Presenter: Deby Dihoff			
Discussion	 Vision and underlying concept of waiver is great. I expanded services. 	Have a system that can roll funds into			
	 Problem in implementation – Everything expected to be consistent across State. We evolved to 11 different systems. 				
	 Port Human Service – Tom Savidge – Enrollment Payments 	Care Coordination, Authorization,			
	Enrollment, authorization, payment issues, Enrollment - not uniform - different for each MCO.				
	 Licensed clinicians – required to enroll with DMA then with each LME/MCO. Different requirement to enroll with MCO, Having to enroll with 11 different. Authorizations – Uniform authorization request systems and perhaps benefit package. (Unmanaged visits) 				
				Care Coordination – lack of understanding – Educ	ation for all
	Payments – denials – time lags so errors duplicate	ed before clearing them up			
	Special Programs – Residential Treatment, Pregnant Women with Addictions – all have different requirements.				
	 Implementation Details – huge problems for people, can be dealt with. Suggestion made to bring some people in to address these issues. Noted that there are groups working on some of these issues. Dr. Lekwauwa's office open to anyone who wants to address some of these issues. Request made for a report on some of the results. LME/MCOs are meeting and desire to advance standardization process particularly claims processing. Inquiry as to whether recommendations from DWAC go to leadership and can we make them stick. 				
	Concerns about Clean Claims. Definition discusses	Concerns about Clean Claims. Definition discussed.			
	 Frustration that there are not enough community guides and they need to be trained. Request for list of community guides and list of providers. 				
	Need to determine what subcommittees are out there looking at standardization and what are they doing.				
	Brian Ingraham to present to the Committee in Apactivities. Questions, who is doing what	ril on what the council is doing. Specific			
Conclusions					
Action Items		Person(s) Responsible Deadline			
Follow-up next mor	nth for on-going discussion.	DWAC Chair and DMA & 4/15/2013 DMH Assigned staff to assist with agenda.			

5 Agenda tonic: Subcommittee Penort

5. Agenda topic: Subcommittee Report		Presenter: Peggy	Presenter: Peggy Terhune	
Discussion	 Subcommittee was tasked with reviewing the States Due Process. Subcommittee was to look at ways to get information to families and individuals in a simple and meaningful way. Task is complete, rewriting to make final changes. 			
Conclusions				
Action Items		Person(s) Responsible	Deadline	
Subcommittee w	vill send final product to be shared with DWAC	DMA & DMH Assigned Staff	4/15/2013	

to improve /AC. th NC this
Deadline

7. Agenda topic: Public C Discussion	Dan Coughlin, Council of Community Programs, Chair to make a few comments; based upon the solve these problems the future of LME-MCOs is as things are. Credibility and trust need to be rep fixes. Try to pull this out of the fire. Proposed ger concerned (stakeholders, etc.) and work togethe resolution. Mary Short – Taylorsville, Alexander County Has received 100+ calls from families who h with use of Administrative Decisions from Mo service from families. Individuals/families hav families being picked on and never wanted n Questioned clinical policy for IDD Requested recommendation from committee	Interim Director, (invited by the Waiver Update presentation) is in the balance. System cannoaired. Need to work on concreting solid representation from the find solutions. Identification ave come under the (c) waive COs regarding 40 hour per we we no ability to appeal decision anaged care waiver.	If we don't ot continue ete material all on and r. Concerns ek of ns. Feels
Conclusions Action Items			

Meeting Adjourned Next Meeting: Wednesday, April 24, 2013, 1:00 p.m. – 3:00 p.m.